

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/757,661	01/10/2001	Thomas Magid	9726-2 7492 EXAMINER		
30951 75	590 08/09/2005				
NASH & TITUS, LLC			THEIN, MARIA TERESA T		
21402 UNISON RD MIDDLEBURG, VA 20117			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/757,661	MAGID, THOMAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marissa Thein	3627	

<u> </u>	Examino.	7 ti t 01t					
	Marissa Thein	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contraction of t	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	·	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-13 and 15-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 proposes the recitations "and a program, said program having elements for permitting the purchaser to attain access to varying levels of information disclosure in said computer readable storage medium based on level of interest and protecting said levles of disclosure"; "a seller present a first level of infomarion dislosure of said patent or trade secret relating to product or method from said computer readable storage medium to a prospective purchaser....."; and "the seller to present a second level of additional information disclosure relating to said product or method from said computer readable medium......" would require further consideration and search. Claim 2 proposes the recitation "wherein said elements permit the seller to present......" would require further search and consideration. Claim 6 proposes the recitation "wherein each successive level of disclosure has associated......." would require further consideration and search. Claim 10 proposes the recitations "said system comprising: a computerized central network core site, said network core site comprising: at least one computer readable storage medium and a program, said program having elements for permitting the......."; a seller presenting a first level of information disclosure relating to said patent......"; and "said seller presenting a second level of information disclosure that is......." would require further search and consideration.

JAMES MCCLELLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINE